

**Project number:** 6417  
**Funding source:** Irish Research Council

**Date:** Mar 2016  
**Project dates:** Jan 2013 to Mar 2016

## Use of integrated constructed wetlands for the control of $N_2O$ and $NO_3$ losses from agriculture



**Figure 1** Integrated constructed wetland, Dunhill, Co Waterford.

### Key external stakeholders:

Farmers, Department of Agriculture, Food & Marine, Environmental Protection Agency, Local Authorities

### Practical implications for stakeholders:

Integrated constructed wetlands can help to improve the quality of surface waters and the underlying groundwater.

### Main results:

- The research found that groundwater was a major sink for  $NO_3$  accounting for removal rates of 54-79% of  $NO_3$  added.
- Denitrification accounted for 14-16% of the  $NO_3$  sink.
- Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium accounted for 40-63% of the groundwater  $NO_3$  sink.
- The DNRA rates matched the ambient groundwater  $NH_4$  concentrations observed with higher DNRA observed in groundwater with higher ambient  $NH_4$  concentrations.
- The fate of the  $NH_4$  formed through  $NO_3$  reduction is unknown but could be immobilized in the aquifer, further reduced to  $N_2$  gas or nitrified again to form  $NO_3$ .

### Opportunity / Benefit:

- Within agriculturally dominated catchments ICWs can assist in the improvement of both surface waters flowing through them and the underlying groundwater.

### Collaborating Institutions:

Vesi Environmental Ltd., Waterford Co. Council, Trinity College Dublin, University College Dublin

**Teagasc project team:** Dr. M.M.R. Jahangir (Trinity College/ Johnstown Castle)  
Dr. Owen Fenton (Johnstown Castle)  
Dr. Karl Richards (Johnstown Castle)

**External collaborators:** Dr. Rory Harrington, Vesi Environmental Ltd.  
Mr. Paul Johnston, Trinity College Dublin.  
Dr Christoph Müller, University College Dublin/ Justus-Liebig University Giessen  
Mr. Paul Carroll, Waterford County Council

### 1. Project background:

Reducing nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3$ ) loss to water is a national priority to help improve water quality and achieve the requirements of the Nitrates and Water Framework Directive. Denitrification is a permanent sink for N inputs in groundwater which converts  $\text{NO}_3$  to environmentally benign dinitrogen ( $\text{N}_2$ ). But denitrification can lead to emissions of nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) an intermediate product that is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential 298 times of  $\text{CO}_2$ . Indirect  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes arising from ground and surface waters are significant and a potentially important component of global  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  budget, which is required to estimate to prevent pollution swapping ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  for  $\text{NO}_3$ ). The production, movement and consumption of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in groundwater are particularly poorly understood. There is a clear need for further research on the spatial controls of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production and reduction in groundwater. The Intergovernmental Program on Climate Change (IPCC) suggests that 0.25% (range 0.05 to 2.5%) of fertilizer N addition to crop fields is emitted as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from groundwater, an indirect EF5g that has great uncertainty and that requires verification over a range of hydrogeological environments.

Integrated constructed wetlands have been used in Ireland and other countries to treat polluted water from agricultural, industrial and municipal sources. Nitrogen entering natural wetland systems can undergo a series of transformations. Nitrogen fluxes from productive agricultural landscapes, attenuation of  $\text{NO}_3$  loss to streams and potable groundwater and the potential for pollution swapping due to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission are key determinants of the efficacy of nutrient loss mitigation measures in Ireland. Developing our understanding of these processes is of critical importance in facilitating the sustainable expansion and intensification of Irish agriculture. In wetlands both ground/drainage waters consume  $\text{NO}_3$  and produce/reduce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  while passing through and from the agricultural landscape to the receptors. But until now, no direct comparisons between  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  measurement in groundwater and associated drainage outlets along the flow path have been reported. Moreover, the effect of accelerated groundwater discharge via field drains on convective  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions to receptors has not been studied up to now.

### 2. Questions addressed by the project:

The objective of the research was:

- To quantify  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production and reduction rates in the wetland sub-soils along groundwater flow paths;
- To quantify  $\text{NO}_3$  reduction via denitrification in groundwater beneath an integrated constructed wetland;
- To quantify  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission factors for groundwater (EF5-g)

### 3. The experimental studies:

The denitrification process is difficult to measure, and existing methods are problematic. Methods used to evaluate or estimate N removal via denitrification in wetlands are problematic and often involve the use of inhibitors, artificially elevated substrate concentrations, and/or physically altered conditions (Groffman et al., 2006). However, relatively new in situ “push–pull” methods allow for measurement of wetland denitrification under more realistic conditions and for evaluation of the ability of different features to affect N flows in the landscape. In situ push-pull method, being used by researchers to determine in situ denitrification rates in shallow groundwater in riparian zones will be evaluated here in natural wetland sites. Dissolved  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{N}_2$  can be quantified using headspace extraction technique and membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS), respectively. A novel method will be developed to understand  $\text{NO}_3$  reduction processes in natural wetland soils/sub-soils, associated groundwater and drain outlets in agricultural ecosystems is of huge importance to better understand and to manage risks of  $\text{NO}_3$  delivery to surface waters and indirect  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions to atmosphere.

### 4. Main results:

Groundwater denitrification was investigated in the groundwater beneath the integrated constructed wetland (ICW) located in Dunhill, Co. Waterford. This ICW was designed by Vesi Environmental Ltd. and is run by Irish Water/Waterford County Council to treat municipal waste water from Dunhill village. The effluent is treated as it passes through a number of wetland cells before it discharges to the Anne river. <https://www.water.ie/community/wetlands-wastewater-treatment/dunhill-integrated-constructed-wetland/>

Elevated ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4$ ) was detected historically in the groundwater close to the ICW and the ICW was implicated as the source of the  $\text{NH}_4$ . The environmental quality standard for  $\text{NH}_4$  is ?? mg/L.

- The research found that groundwater was a major sink for NO<sub>3</sub> accounting for removal rates of 54-79% of NO<sub>3</sub> added
- Denitrification accounted for 14-16% of the NO<sub>3</sub> sink
- Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium accounted for 40-63% of the groundwater NO<sub>3</sub> sink
- The DNRA rates matched the ambient groundwater NH<sub>4</sub> concentrations observed with higher DNRA observed in groundwater with higher ambient NH<sub>4</sub> concentrations.
- The fate of the NH<sub>4</sub> formed through NO<sub>3</sub> reduction is unknown but could be immobilized in the aquifer, further reduced to N<sub>2</sub> gas or nitrified again to form NO<sub>3</sub>

The research has highlighted that ICWs and groundwater NO<sub>3</sub> transformations in anaerobic carbon rich subsoils is complex. This research shows that ICWs significantly influence NO<sub>3</sub> attenuation in the groundwater beneath them and that only focusing on NO<sub>3</sub> attenuation within ICWs can underestimate their environmental benefits. Heretofore under investigated processes such as dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium maybe more widespread in the environment resulting in the conversion of NO<sub>3</sub> to NH<sub>4</sub> which may have greater ecological impacts. The occurrence of NH<sub>4</sub> in the aquatic environment does not always indicate point sources of pollution but could reflect NO<sub>3</sub> reduction from diffuse sources of pollution particularly in anaerobic carbon rich soils and subsoils associated with wet clay rich soils. The environmental fate and impact of the NH<sub>4</sub> generated from NO<sub>3</sub> reduction needs to be further investigated.

#### 5. Opportunity/Benefit:

Integrated constructed wetlands have been used to improve water quality in areas impacted by a range of pollutants. Within agriculturally dominated catchments ICWs can assist in the improvement of both surface waters flowing through them and the underlying groundwater. Only focusing on NO<sub>3</sub> attenuation within ICWs can underestimate their environmental benefits. The process of DNRA needs to be further investigated in wet carbon rich soils that occur in wet areas of Ireland and the environmental impact of NH<sub>4</sub> needs to be further assessed. There is an urgent need for ICWs to be considered as an option to improve water quality and biodiversity on farms. These benefits are coupled with carbon storage and flood attenuation. Barriers to uptake need to be identified to facilitate the uptake of ICWs to provide multiple ecosystem services.

#### 6. Dissemination:

The results of the project have been presented at national and international conferences. Two papers were published and there is a further paper in preparation.

#### Main publications:

Jahangir M.M.R., Fenton O., Müller C., Harrington R., Johnston P., Richards K.G. (2017) In situ denitrification and DNRA rates in groundwater beneath an integrated constructed wetland, *Water Research* 111: 254-264.

Jahangir M.M.R., Richards K.G., Healy M.G., Gill L., Müller C., Johnston P. and Fenton O. (2016) Carbon and nitrogen dynamics and greenhouse gas emissions in constructed wetlands treating wastewater: a review. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 20, 1–15.

#### 7. Compiled by: Dr. Karl Richards