Improving the productivity of heavy wet grassland for delivery of Food Harvest 2020

Key external stakeholders: Farmers, policy makers, research scientists, farming community

Practical implications for stakeholders:
Since the abolition of the milk quota much of the increase in milk production has come from existing dairy farms and drainage is key to increasing the productivity of farms on heavy soils in higher rainfall parts of the country with minimal impact on the environment.
- Improved drainage increased herbage production, the length of the grazing season and milk solids production.
- It shortened the housing period and costs of production.
- It had no impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the soil.
- It lowered P losses to water.
- It increased nitrate losses although nitrate concentrations were low and well below the MAC for nitrate in drinking water.
- Installation of a zeolite interceptor has potential to lower nutrient concentrations in drainage water by up to 90%.

Main results:
Improved drainage increased herbage production, livestock carrying capacity, the length of the grazing season and milk solids production. It shortened the housing period and costs of production. Artificial drainage had no impact of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions from the soil and soil C storage. Modelling indicated that, in the long term, artificial drainage can significantly lower nitrous oxide emissions (an important GHG) from the soil mainly due to drier soil conditions and lower rates of denitrification. Artificial drainage lowered total P loss to water by improving infiltration of surface water, which facilitated the capture (sorption) of P in the soil. Improved drainage increased loss of nitrate-N; increasing concentrations to 0.99 mg/L, which is very low relative to the 11.3 mg/L threshold for drinking water. Drainage also increased ammonium-N losses with concentrations of 0.64 mg/L. The installation of a zeolite interceptor has potential to lower the latter concentrations by up to 90% and below maximum admissible concentrations for surface waters.

Opportunity / Benefit:
Artificial drainage is often associated with negative impacts on the environment. The results of the present study show that, along with productivity gains, artificial land drainage can have positive environmental benefits, i.e. lower P losses to water and no change or lower GHG emissions. It can also have negative impacts, i.e. higher nitrate and ammonium losses in drainage water, although nitrate levels following artificial drainage in this study were 0.99 mg/L, which is very low relative to the 11.3 mg/L threshold for drinking water.
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1. Project background:
Producing milk from grazed grass is an important part of the Irish Economy. However, grazing on heavy wet soils can be problematical and farms with heavy wet soil have limited productivity and higher costs of production. Historically, Ireland has a low level of artificial drainage compared with other European countries. Approximately 20% of the utilizable agricultural area in Ireland has undergone artificial drainage compared with 65% in England and 74% in the Netherlands. Since the abolition of the milk quota much of the increase in milk production has come from existing dairy farms, many of which are on heavy soils in traditional dairying areas in higher rainfall parts of the country. Achieving higher productivity from farms on heavy wet soils while minimising environmental impact can make an important contribution to realising the targets set out in Food Harvest 2020.

2. Questions addressed by the project:
   • The role of artificial drainage in increasing the productivity and profitability of farms on heavy wet soils.
   • The risk that facilitating the discharge of water from soils by artificial drainage could increase nutrient losses to aquatic systems.
   • The potential of a zeolite interceptor to lower nutrient concentrations in drainage water.
   • The risk that drainage could increase greenhouse gases emissions from the soil.
   • The national and global implications of improving land drainage to expand dairy production.

3. The experimental studies:
This study used a combination of field measurements at Solohead Research Farm and modelling, including the DNDC Ecosystem Process Model, Dairy System Simulation Model (Dairy_Sim) and Life Cycle Assessment to examine the impact of artificial drainage of grassland on productivity and on environmental impact in the context of improving drainage to facilitate expansion of dairy production on poorly-drained soils.

4. Main results:
Improved drainage increased herbage production by up to 13%, livestock carrying capacity, the length of the grazing season and milk solids production. It shortened the housing period and, hence, costs of production. Artificial drainage lowered total annual P loss to water (by 114 g/ha) by improving infiltration of surface water, which facilitated the capture (sorption) of P in the soil. On the other hand, improved drainage increased loss of nitrate-N; increasing concentrations to 0.99 mg/L, which is very low relative to the 11.3 mg/L threshold for drinking water. Drainage also increased ammonium-N losses with concentrations of 0.64 mg/L. The installation of a zeolite interceptor has potential to lower the latter concentrations by up to 90% and to below maximum admissible concentrations for surface waters. Artificial drainage per se had no impact of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions from the soil and soil C storage. Modelling using the DNDC Ecosystem Process Model indicated that, in the long term, artificial drainage can significantly lower nitrous oxide emissions (an important GHG) from the soil mainly due to drier soil conditions being conducive to lower rates of denitrification. On the other hand, the expansion of milk production onto this land is increasing national GHG emissions (associated with dairy herd expansion rather than artificial drainage per se). From the perspective of global emissions expansion of dairy production on artificially drained soils in Ireland can have net global environmental benefits due to displacement of less efficient dairy production in other regions of the world. Mitigating emissions associated with expansion of the national dairy herd per se is a challenge for policy makers.

5. Opportunity/Benefit:
Drainage can increase herbage production and carrying capacity of dairy cows and, hence, facilitate the expansion of dairy production on heavy wet land. Drainage has the potential to improve water quality by
lowering P loss to water although it also increases the risk of nitrate losses to water from heavy soils. In general P loss to water from heavy soils is a far bigger problem than nitrate losses from such soils and the nitrate levels recorded were very low in this study. Furthermore artificial drainage can significantly lower nitrous oxide emissions (an important GHG) from the soil in the long term. There are many benefits associated with artificial drainage to increase national dairy output in line with Food Harvest 2020 with little or no impact of artificial drainage per se on GHG emissions and nutrient losses to water.

6. Dissemination:
Dissemination was through publication in peer-reviewed scientific journals, conference presentations and proceedings (see below). There were also presentations at National and International workshops, in-service training for advisors, technical seminars, technical articles and the farming press. Dissemination was also via Moorepark Opendays and approximately 50 farm walks held at Solohead Research farm since the beginning of the project.
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